

## Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Appellate Reports Volume 181

*(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)*

Altama, LLC v. Napoli Motors, Inc. . . . .	151
<i>Summary process; claim that trial court improperly rendered judgment against defendant on theory of liability not alleged in complaint; whether complaint sufficiently alleged that plaintiff initiated summary process action for lapse of time; claim that court improperly concluded that subject lease had terminated for lapse of time; whether trial court's finding that term of lease had expired was clearly erroneous.</i>	
Anderson v. Ocean State Job Lot . . . . .	375
<i>False arrest; malicious prosecution; reviewability of claims of fraud raised for first time on appeal; failure to raise claims before trial court in motion to open; whether failure to have motion to open verified by oath as required by applicable statute (§ 52-212) was fatal to fraud claims.</i>	
Aurora Loan Services, LLC v. Condrón . . . . .	248
<i>Foreclosure; claim that substitute plaintiff failed to demonstrate that it had standing to foreclose because it did not own note and note owner did not authorize substitute plaintiff to foreclose in its own name; claim that trial court improperly admitted hearsay testimony regarding contents of business records that were not in evidence; harmless error; whether trial court correctly concluded that substitute plaintiff satisfied its burden of proof pursuant to mortgage deed to establish that plaintiff complied with contractual notification requirements; whether trial court erred by applying doctrine of substantial compliance and concluding that plaintiff had substantially complied with notice requirements of mortgage deed when it sent default letter to defendant property owners by certified mail; claim that statutory condition precedent to action failed because substitute plaintiff failed to introduce into evidence certified mail receipt confirming that certain notice required by statute (§ 8-265see [a]) was actually delivered by certified mail.</i>	
Berka v. Middletown . . . . .	159
<i>Administrative appeal; whether trial court improperly dismissed administrative appeal because plaintiff failed to name in administrative citation agency that rendered final decision challenged in appeal; whether dismissal of administrative appeal was proper where plaintiff failed to serve agency that rendered final decision challenged in appeal.</i>	
Bridgeport v. Grace Building, LLC . . . . .	280
<i>Summary process; claim that appeal was moot because defendant had been evicted from and no longer was in possession of property; whether defendant demonstrated impairment of ability to seek writ of restoration; whether trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to open default judgment; whether defendant provided sufficient information to satisfy statutory (§ 52-212 [a]) standard for opening default judgment by showing that good defense existed at time default judgment was rendered and that defense was not raised by reason of mistake, accident or other reasonable cause; whether trial court's findings that defendant had engaged in purely dilatory delays and that no reasonable cause existed for defendant's failure to appear for trial with counsel were clearly erroneous.</i>	
Brown v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	901
Cator v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .	167
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal with respect to claim that appellate counsel provided ineffective assistance in failing to raise claims of instructional error and evidentiary insufficiency; unpreserved claim that appellate counsel should have raised claim that trial court improperly read to jury entire statutory (§ 53a-3 [11]) definition of intent when crimes with which petitioner was charged required instructions only as to specific intent; whether appellate counsel acted reasonably by not raising claim that evidence was insufficient to prove that petitioner was guilty of murder as accessory and conspiracy to commit murder; claim that appellate counsel improperly failed to raise claim that evidence was insufficient</i>	

<i>with respect to charge of felony murder; claim that petitioner's due process rights were violated when trial court erroneously instructed jury as to intent; whether habeas court properly determined that petitioner's due process claim was subject to procedural default.</i>	
Charles Schwab & Co. v. John F. Embersits Family Trust (Memorandum Decision) . . .	901
Chioffi v. Martin . . . . .	111
<i>Breach of fiduciary duty; whether trial court properly found that defendant breached provision of partnership agreement governing distribution of revenues; whether trial court improperly concluded that defendant breached partnership agreement when he assigned corporate accounts receivable and works in progress to new law firm he had formed; claim that trial court abused its discretion when it ordered direct payment from defendant to plaintiff rather than reduction in defendant's capital account; whether award of attorney's fees had to be vacated; whether trial court improperly failed to conclude that defendant breached fiduciary duty to plaintiff; claim that trial court abused its discretion in its method of calculating damages; claim that trial court committed clear error or abused its discretion in finding that plaintiff waived claim for accounting.</i>	
Desmond v. Yale-New Haven Hospital, Inc. . . . .	201
<i>Statutory theft; motion to strike; reviewability of claim that trial court improperly determined that counts of substitute complaint were barred by exclusivity provision of Workers' Compensation Act (§ 31-275 et seq.); whether trial court improperly denied request for leave to amend substitute complaint to add claim for retaliatory discrimination pursuant to statute (§ 31-290a); whether trial court considered proper pleading when it denied plaintiff's request for leave to amend.</i>	
GMAC Mortgage, LLC v. Demelis . . . . .	101
<i>Foreclosure; motion to dismiss; motion for articulation; claim that trial court abused its discretion by denying motion to dismiss and motion for articulation; whether court order, which stated that failure to comply would result in dismissal, was self-executing; whether, in event of noncompliance with court order, further action of court was still required to render judgment of dismissal; whether court's decision denying motion to dismiss was consistent with policy preference to bring about trial on merits; whether trial court properly exercised discretion in favor of resolving case on merits; whether delay in resolution of case was attributed solely to original plaintiff; reviewability of claim that trial court abused its discretion by denying motion for articulation when defendant failed to file motion for review pursuant to applicable rule of practice (§ 66-7).</i>	
Gainey v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .	377
<i>Habeas corpus; whether petitioner's appeal was moot; whether there was any practical relief that could be afforded to petitioner; whether petitioner's claim fell within capable of repetition, yet evading review exception to mootness doctrine.</i>	
Hirschfeld v. Machinist . . . . .	309
<i>Dissolution of marriage; whether trial court improperly failed to find defendant in contempt when it denied in part motion for order regarding division of defendant's interest in various investments and limited partnerships; whether trial court erred by not finding defendant in contempt for underpaying alimony for certain year; whether trial court erred in determining that two provisions in separation agreement were ambiguous and considering extrinsic evidence when it denied motion for order concerning defendant's alleged underpayment of alimony; whether trial court abused its discretion in failing to award attorney's fees to plaintiff on any of her motions.</i>	
In re Mariana A. . . . .	415
<i>Termination of parental rights; whether trial court improperly concluded that petitioner, Commissioner of Children and Families, failed to prove that respondent mother had failed to achieve such degree of rehabilitation as would encourage belief that within reasonable time, considering age and needs of child, mother could assume responsible position in life of child; whether petitioner failed to prove that respondent father had abandoned child because he failed to maintain reasonable degree of interest, concern or responsibility as to her welfare; whether trial court's finding that Department of Children and Families had acknowledged mother's successful completion of domestic violence program was clearly erroneous.</i>	
Jobe v. Commissioner of Correction . . . . .	236
<i>Habeas corpus; whether habeas court properly dismissed habeas petition for lack of jurisdiction where petitioner was no longer in custody when petition was filed.</i>	

McMahon v. Middletown . . . . .	68
<i>Contracts; breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing; reviewability of claim that trial court violated statute (§ 52-178) when it denied plaintiff's counsel permission to ask leading questions of three allegedly adverse parties on direct examination.</i>	
Osborn v. Waterbury . . . . .	239
<i>Negligence; action for damages for personal injuries to minor child who was injured during lunchtime recess at elementary school; claim that trial court improperly found that defendants breached standard of care when it determined that one student intern and three or four staff members were insufficient to control as many as four hundred students on playground; whether expert testimony was required as to standard of care regarding number of supervisors needed to ensure safety of elementary school students on playground; whether plaintiffs were required to produce expert testimony on standard of care and to show how defendants breached standard.</i>	
Packard v. Packard . . . . .	404
<i>Marital dissolution; reviewability of claim that trial court committed errors in making findings, conclusions and orders; failure of defendant to adequately brief legal bases for claims of error and relief sought.</i>	
Randazzo v. Sakon . . . . .	80
<i>Contracts; whether defendant was bound to reimburse plaintiff for property taxes assessed on portion of plaintiff's land that was subject to defendant's easement under terms of easement agreement that was recorded in land records; whether trial court properly applied six year statute of limitations (§ 52-576 [a]) for breach of contract actions rather than three year statute of limitations (§ 52-598a) for indemnification actions; whether defendant, by accepting easement, became contractually bound by its terms, including payment of taxes; claim that statute of frauds barred plaintiff's action because defendant did not sign easement agreement; claim that no property tax could be imposed on easement area because municipalities generally cannot assess easements separately from dominant estate; claim that defendant's commercial tenant should share in tax reimbursement to plaintiff.</i>	
Schimenti v. Schimenti . . . . .	385
<i>Dissolution of marriage; postjudgment orders; plain error doctrine; unpreserved claim that trial court's orders were improperly based on trial judge's admitted bias and prejudice arising out of her personal experience.</i>	
Stanley v. Taylor (Memorandum Decision) . . . . .	901
State v. Andaz . . . . .	228
<i>Violation of probation; claim that defendant's due process right to fair notice of charges against him was violated by state's filing of substitute information changing underlying basis for violation of probation six days prior to probation revocation hearing; whether defendant's unpreserved claim satisfied third prong of test set forth in State v. Golding (213 Conn. 233); whether defendant received adequate notice of ground on which he ultimately was found to have violated his probation.</i>	
State v. Artiaco . . . . .	406
<i>Sexual assault in first degree; risk of injury to child; reviewability of unpreserved evidentiary claim that trial court abused its discretion in precluding testimony of expert witness; whether defendant was deprived of his due process right to fair trial due to alleged prosecutorial impropriety in closing argument.</i>	
State v. Frazier . . . . .	1
<i>Operating motor vehicle while under influence of intoxicating liquor; whether evidence was sufficient to prove that defendant was intoxicated due to alcohol consumption; whether trial court abused its discretion by concluding that defense counsel opened door to admission of certain testimony; unpreserved claim that trial court infringed on defendant's right to testify; reviewability of claim that defendant was prematurely forced to make decision about whether to testify when court canvassed him prior to conclusion of state's case-in-chief.</i>	
State v. Kukucka . . . . .	329
<i>Strangulation in first degree; sexual assault in third degree; assault in third degree; claim that trial court improperly failed to inquire into potential conflict of interest between defendant and defense counsel involving grievance defendant had filed against defense counsel; claim that trial court improperly denied motion to suppress out-of-court and in-court identifications of defendant made by witness to assault; whether trial court failed in duty to inquire into potential conflict of</i>	

<i>interest between defendant and defense counsel; whether defendant made claim before trial court that grievance filed against defense counsel presented conflict of interest; whether defendant demonstrated how inquiry into nature of grievance would have been materially different from inquiry that trial court conducted; whether trial court had reason to believe that conflict of interest existed or that further inquiry was necessary; whether witness' identifications of defendant were reliable; whether trial court properly found that police procedure of showing witness Facebook photo of alleged assailant forty-five minutes after assault was necessary due to exigent circumstances.</i>	
State v. Liebeguth . . . . .	37
<i>Breach of peace in second degree; tampering with witness; whether evidence was insufficient to support conviction of breach of peace in second degree; whether victim was likely to retaliate with immediate violence to defendant's conduct; whether defendant's racial slurs were fighting words; whether evidence adduced at trial was sufficient to support conviction of tampering with witness; whether defendant intended to induce victim to absent himself from court proceeding; whether state was required to prove, and trial court required to find, that defendant directly threatened victim in order to establish that defendant sought to induce victim not to testify.</i>	
State v. Mara (Memorandum Decision). . . . .	901
State v. Rivera . . . . .	215
<i>Capital felony; conspiracy to commit murder; claim that trial court abused its discretion in refusing to admit into evidence certain statements made by coconspirator under residual exception to hearsay rule; whether trial court properly concluded that statements lacked trustworthiness and reliability that are required for admission under residual exception to hearsay rule; whether defendant demonstrated that allegedly improper exclusion of statements was harmful.</i>	
Windsor v. Loureiro Engineering Associates. . . . .	356
<i>Professional negligence; whether present action was barred by applicable seven year statute of limitations (§ 52-584a) where defendant architects had been retained to produce report regarding structural soundness of existing building and, more than ten years later, auditorium roof suffered partial collapse; claim that analysis provided by defendants in report was never substantially completed because they failed to inform plaintiff about defect in auditorium roof; whether defendants failed to satisfy burden to demonstrate that action was commenced outside limitation period; whether date of substantial completion under § 52-584a was date of report when report did not itself require or effect physical alteration of real property and there was no readily discernible date of substantial completion of such improvement to real property; claim that triable issue of fact existed with respect to substantial completion.</i>	